

Barbara Chilton Middle School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Barbara Chilton Middle School
Street	4501 Bob Doyle Drive
City, State, Zip	Roseville, CA 95747
Phone Number	(916) 771-1870
Principal	Jeff Ancker
E-mail Address	jancker@rcsdk8.org
Web Site	http://www.rcsdk8.org
CDS Code	31-66910-0000000

District Contact Information	
District Name	Roseville City School District
Phone Number	(916) 771-1600
Superintendent	Derk Garcia
E-mail Address	
Web Site	www.rcsdk8.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Grades 6-8 school that opened August 21, 2012

School is named after Barbara Chilton who began her teaching career with the Roseville City School District in 1965. Barbara taught regular and special education at Eich, Woodbridge, Crestmont and Cirby from 1965-1975. Beginning in 1975 Mrs. Chilton worked in the Student Services department at the District Office until she retired in 1989.

As of December 2017, the school serves 710 students

As of December 2017, Chilton has 31 teachers (4 part-time) and 25 support staff (some part-time)

Chilton State testing scores are some of the highest in Placer County. In 2012-13, Chilton students scored the highest API ever for any middle school in the Roseville City School District (918)! API stands for Academic Performance Index. In 2013-14, Chilton had the highest percentage of students scoring Advanced on the 2013-14 Science CST in all of Placer County. In the 2014-15 school year, Chilton had an amazing 97% of the 8th-grade students score proficient or advanced on the State CST Science test. In 2015-16 and 2016-17, Chilton had the highest percentage of students scoring meets or exceeds standard in Language Arts when compared to all other middle schools in Roseville. These results were based on data from the State CAASPP testing.

School Mission/Vision of Chilton:

“The mission of Barbara Chilton Middle School is to inspire, enable, and empower all students to meet high academic and social standards that will prepare them for high school and beyond.”

Vision- “With a strong collaborative partnership between parents and school, Chilton staff will: 1) Develop strong relationships with students by knowing their passions, strengths, and challenges. 2) Teach students to solve complex and meaningful problems. 3) Develop 21st-century skills 4) incorporate leadership habits to build student independence and positive peer relationships. 5) Foster an environment in which students and staff are safe, responsible and respectful.

This school has 33 classrooms, a multipurpose room, a 10,000 sq. foot gymnasium, a performing arts building, large kitchen, library, a computer lab (second computer lab is in “EX” classroom) and an administration building.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	224
Grade 7	216
Grade 8	159
Total Enrollment	599

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	12.4
Filipino	13.2
Hispanic or Latino	10.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.5
White	48.1
Two or More Races	4.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11.9
English Learners	3.8
Students with Disabilities	5.7
Foster Youth	0

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	20	26	29	470
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	1

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: 9/7/2017

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 7, 2017 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards- aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	SpringBoard ELA	Yes	0
Mathematics	Carnegie 2015	Yes	0
Science	Science Prentice Hall	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social Science Holt	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvement (School Year 2017-2018)

General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

School Facility Repair Status:

Overall Summary School Facility Repair Status: Exemplary

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials. In all areas this school passed inspection.

Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Since 2008- 2009 the State has suspended the program due to State Budget Reductions. The District still maintains its own program.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	70	77	63	63	48	48
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	59	57	53	51	36	37

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	609	607	99.67	76.94
Male	302	301	99.67	69.1
Female	307	306	99.67	84.64
Black or African American	17	17	100	64.71
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	75	75	100	82.67
Filipino	80	79	98.75	86.08
Hispanic or Latino	68	67	98.53	74.63
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	290	290	100	74.83
Two or More Races	28	28	100	78.57
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	73	72	98.63	62.5
English Learners	63	63	100	63.49
Students with Disabilities	50	49	98	28.57
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	609	608	99.84	56.91
Male	302	301	99.67	53.16
Female	307	307	100	60.59
Black or African American	17	17	100	35.29
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	75	75	100	61.33
Filipino	80	80	100	75
Hispanic or Latino	68	67	98.53	55.22
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	290	290	100	52.76
Two or More Races	28	28	100	50
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	73	72	98.63	40.28
English Learners	63	63	100	47.62
Students with Disabilities	50	49	98	24.49
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students
Grades Five, Eight, and Ten**

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	97	78	73	73	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	12.6	31.3	46.7

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Contact school Principal, Jeff Ancker, Assistant Principal Joseph Clark or Parent Teacher Club President, Karen Henderson. Parents play many active roles in the education of our students, including enthusiastic involvement as volunteers, Parent Teacher Club members, Site Council members, the leadership of extra-curricular programs (coaching) and fundraising campaigns. The majority of parents/guardians participate in PTC events, including “Family Nights Out”, “BBQ & Bingo Night”, “Parent / Student Lunch Days” and Book Fairs. Many parents have access to social media communication by logging onto our school website www.rcsdk8.org (click on “Schools”), like us via Facebook or follow us on Twitter to stay abreast of school events and activities.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	3.1	3.4	1.9	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Barbara Chilton Middle. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The School Site Safety plan was last reviewed and updated on November 30, 2017, by the School Safety Committee. All revisions were communicated to both the classified and certificated staff. The school’s disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Fire and disaster drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. Lockdown drills are held once per trimester. Students are supervised before and after school by certificated staff/classified staff/principal, and classified staff / principal supervise students during lunch. There is a designated area for student drop off and pick up. All parents and visitors must sign in at the front office and wear a badge.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2014-15				2015-16				2016-17			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	28		9	1	29	2	4	4	29	2	7	4
Mathematics	28		7	2	37			2	34		1	3
Science	28	1	6	2	31		6	4	31	1	5	6
Social Science	27	2	6	1	32		4	6	31	1	6	4

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.6	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.6	N/A
Resource Specialist	2	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$8,998	\$2,588	\$6,410	\$80,328
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,509	\$80,553
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-1.5	-0.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$78,363
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-4.6	4.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The annual funding plan concentrates on providing students with materials, tools and experiences to enrich their education. Goals and progress are reviewed annually as a staff, to focus on our student needs when planning the budget. Currently this has been focused on providing technology access and education, reading/writing support in all core content areas, professional development, supplemental curriculum materials and enrichment opportunities that align with the Common Core State Standards.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,775	\$48,678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,529	\$78,254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,924	\$96,372
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,722	\$122,364
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$125,320	\$125,958
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$126,758
Superintendent Salary	\$197,499	\$212,818
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

Other areas of professional development at Chilton include:

- Analyzing data through the PLC model
- Close reading strategies in all content areas
- Google technology use (Google Docs, Slides, Forms, Sheets and various extensions) and how to support students in the use of other technology (Kahoot, Robot C, blogs and more)
- Instructional coaching of staff that includes checking for understanding and other best practices shared between and within departments
- Understanding by Design approach to unit/lesson development